

## Durham Research Online

---

### Deposited in DRO:

31 July 2017

### Version of attached file:

Published Version

### Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

### Citation for published item:

Hoyle, D. M. and Huang, Q. and Auhl, D. and Hassell, D. and Rasmussen, H. K. and Skov, A. L. and Harlen, O. G. and Hassager, O. and McLeish, T. C. B. (2013) 'Transient overshoot extensional rheology of long-chain branched polyethylenes : experimental and numerical comparisons between filament stretching and cross-slot flow.', *Journal of rheology*, 57 (1). pp. 293-313.

### Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1122/1.4767982>

### Publisher's copyright statement:

© 2013 The Society of Rheology. This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the author and the American Institute of Physics. The following article appeared in *Journal of rheology*, 57(1): 293-313 and may be found at <https://doi.org/10.1122/1.4767982>

### Additional information:

## Use policy

---

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.

# Transient overshoot extensional rheology of long-chain branched polyethylenes: Experimental and numerical comparisons between filament stretching and cross-slot flow

D. M. HoyleQ. HuangD. AuhID. HassellH. K. RasmussenA. L. SkovO. G. HarlenO. HassagerT. C. B. McLeish

Citation: [Journal of Rheology](#) **57**, 293 (2013); doi: 10.1122/1.4767982

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1122/1.4767982>

View Table of Contents: <http://sor.scitation.org/toc/jor/57/1>

Published by the [The Society of Rheology](#)

---

## Articles you may be interested in

[Molecular constitutive equations for a class of branched polymers: The pom-pom polymer](#)  
[Journal of Rheology](#) **42**, 81 (1998); 10.1122/1.550933

[Predicting low density polyethylene melt rheology in elongational and shear flows with “pom-pom” constitutive equations](#)  
[Journal of Rheology](#) **43**, 873 (1999); 10.1122/1.551036

[Stress relaxation and reversed flow of low-density polyethylene melts following uniaxial extension](#)  
[Journal of Rheology](#) **56**, 1535 (2012); 10.1122/1.4752759

---



**Your future-proof  
rheometer.**

MCR 702 TwinDrive™

Get in touch: [www.anton-paar.com](http://www.anton-paar.com)



# Transient overshoot extensional rheology of long-chain branched polyethylenes: Experimental and numerical comparisons between filament stretching and cross-slot flow

D. M. Hoyle<sup>a)</sup>

*Department of Physics, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3HP,  
United Kingdom and Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Leeds,  
Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom*

Q. Huang

*Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, The Danish Polymer  
Centre, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark*

D. Auhl

*Interdisciplinary Research Centre for Polymer Science and Technology (IRC),  
University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, United Kingdom and Institute of Condensed  
Matter, Bio- and Soft Matter, Université Catholique de Louvain,  
B-1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium*

D. Hassell

*Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2  
3RA, United Kingdom and Institut Teknologi Brunei, Jalan Tungku Link,  
Gadong BE1410, Brunei Darussalam*

H. K. Rasmussen

*Department of Mechanical Engineering, The Danish Polymer Centre, Technical  
University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark*

A. L. Skov

*Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, The Danish Polymer  
Centre, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark*

O. G. Harlen<sup>b)</sup>

*Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT,  
United Kingdom*

---

<sup>a)</sup>Electronic mail: d.m.hoyle@durham.ac.uk

<sup>b)</sup>Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; electronic mail: o.g.harlen@maths.leeds.ac.uk

O. Hassager

*Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, The Danish Polymer Centre, Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kongens Lyngby, Denmark*

T. C. B. McLeish

*Department of Chemistry, University of Durham, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom and Department of Physics, Durham University, Durham DH1 3HP, United Kingdom*

(Received 2 July 2012; final revision received 22 October 2012; published 4 December 2012)

### Synopsis

This work analyses the high-strain extensional behavior of long-chain branched polyethylenes, employing two novel extensional rheometer devices, the filament stretching rheometer and the cross-slot extensional rheometer. The filament stretching rheometer uses an active feedback loop to control the imposed strain rate on a filament, allowing Hencky strains of around 7 to be reached. The cross-slot extensional rheometer uses optical birefringence patterns to determine the steady-state extensional viscosity from planar stagnation point flow. The two methods probe different strain-rate regimes and in this paper we demonstrate the agreement when the operating regimes overlap and explore the steady-state extensional viscosity in the full strain-rate regime that these two complimentary techniques offer. For long-chain branched materials, the cross-slot birefringence images show a double cusp pattern around the outflow centre line (named W-cusps). Using constitutive modeling of the observed transient overshoot in extension seen in the filament stretching rheometer and using finite element simulations we show that the overshoot explains the W-cusps seen in the cross-slot extensional rheometer, further confirming the agreement between the two experimental techniques. © 2013 The Society of Rheology. [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1122/1.4767982>]

## I. INTRODUCTION

A long standing issue in characterizing polymer stress-strain relationships is their extensional response. It still remains a challenge both experimentally and constitutively to capture and explain the extensional stress response of polymer melts over a broad range of strain rates. The extensional stress growth coefficient provides an excellent material characterizing technique as the flow direction and gradient are parallel, and probes the chain stretching response. It is much more effective than shear flow in detecting differences in molecular structure [Bent *et al.* (2003)]. For branched polymer melts, the stress response usually exhibits some degree of strain hardening, where the tensile stress growth coefficient rises above the linear-viscoelastic reference case that is predicted using the Boltzmann superposition principle [Dealy (1990)].

Extensional flow is commonly achieved by uniaxial stretching [e.g., Cogswell (1972); Meissner (1971); Meissner and Hostettler (1994); Münstedt (1979); Sridhar *et al.* (1991)]. In a series of papers, Meissner, Münstedt, Laun, and co-workers investigated the strain-rate and stress dependence of the elongational viscosity as well as the recoverable strain in the steady state for low-density polyethylene (LDPE) melts [for example, Laun and Münstedt (1976, 1978); Raible *et al.* (1979)]. These constant strain-rate tests were performed on LDPE samples using a Meissner-type elongational rheometer with rotating clamps. These tests indicated that the tensile stress runs through a broad maximum as a function of strain.

This effect was found to be even more pronounced at higher strain rates. However, [Münstedt and Laun \(1981\)](#) used the same Meissner-type apparatus to suggest that the stress maximum may be an artifact, because when the case of decreasing stress at high elongation occurs the sample homogeneity becomes insufficient to return significant data.

In subsequent studies, steady-state elongational viscosities were determined by creep tests in elongation [e.g., by [Münstedt and Auhl \(2005\)](#) for linear and long-chain branched (LCB) polypropylenes using a Münstedt type tensile rheometer]. In comparison to stretching experiments, a steady-state flow in elongation can already be reached in creep experiments for smaller strain rates. The steady-state values are independent of the flow type by which they are obtained and can be used to compare to the maximum values from constant strain-rate experiments. From the prescribed constant stress and the resulting steady-state elongational rate, the steady-state elongational viscosities were compared to the maximum viscosities from stressing experiments. The difference in the results when comparing steady-state extensional creep and constant strain-rate (maximums) measurements was similar for linear and weakly branched materials but somewhat larger for the materials with the highest long-chain branching.

Nonlinear elongational flow behavior is often explored using a double roller stretching device, the Sentmanat elongational rheometer {SER, Xpansion Instruments [[Sentmanat \(2004\)](#)]} attached to a standard shear rheometer. Typically different Hencky strain rates between 0.001 and 30 s<sup>-1</sup> are applied to specimens with a width from 3 to 10 mm and a thickness of about 1 mm [cf., for example, [Münstedt and Auhl \(2005\)](#)]. However, the extensional data obtained from the SER never reach a steady-state plateau as stretching experiments are prone to sample inhomogeneity and sample rupture [cf. [McKinley and Sridhar \(2002\)](#); [Minoshima and White \(1986a, 1986b\)](#); [Aho et al. \(2010a, 2010b\)](#)] and these experiments are limited to Hencky strains less than 4.

A maximum in the transient extensional viscosity has been reported for LDPE [[Meissner \(1985\)](#)] but a steady-state stress after the maximum was not observed. More recently, Hassager and co-workers have used a filament stretching rheometer (FSR) with active feedback [[Bach et al. \(2003\)](#); [Rasmussen et al. \(2005\)](#)] to measure the elongational viscosity of LDPEs at strains beyond the onset of localized necking of the sample. However, even with active feedback a true steady-state flow condition is impossible to establish in filament stretching flows [see, for instance, [McKinley and Sridhar \(2002\)](#)] since an unlimited deformation is required and the sample cross-sections become very small. Despite this, the observation of an effective steady-state stress at high strains following a stress maximum was reported for two LDPE melts (Lupolen3020D and Lupolen1840D) [[Rasmussen et al. \(2005\)](#)]. In subsequent work, a similar high-strain “steady-state” stress plateau following a stress maximum has been reported for a model branched (Pompom) polystyrene of known architecture [[Nielsen et al. \(2006\)](#)].

Stretching devices have also been developed to measure the response of materials to planar extensional flow [[Laun and Schuch \(1989\)](#); [Meissner et al. \(1982\)](#); [Meissner et al. \(1981\)](#)]. In the linear-viscoelastic limit, the extensional viscosities for these two flow types differ by a factor of 4/3. However, this difference decreases in the nonlinear strain hardened regime where the stress response tends to the same steady-state values [as seen, for example, in the LDPE measurements of [Laun and Schuch \(1989\)](#)] captured by the multimode Pompom model [[Inkson et al. \(1999\)](#)]. Consequently in this paper, we shall compare uniaxial and planar extensional data.

[Auhl et al. \(2011\)](#) used a closed cell stagnation point (SP) flow to measure the planar steady-state extensional response of various polyethylenes produced using flow induced birefringence (FIB) patterns. Much work has been done to investigate complex flow geometries [e.g., [Boukellal et al. \(2011\)](#); [Coventry and Mackley \(2008\)](#); [Crowley et al.](#)

(1976); Frank and Mackley (1976); Janeschitz-Kriegl (1983); Macosko *et al.* (1980); Schoonen *et al.* (1998); Scrivener *et al.* (1979); Soulages *et al.* (2008); Verbeeten *et al.* (2001); Winter *et al.* (1979)], often comparing constitutive predictions to FIB images. Compared to filament stretching flows, the SP geometries have the advantage that flow properties can be investigated without free surface flow [Minoshima and White (1986b)]. However, the flow history at other points in flow is more complex and so only at the SP is the stress the result of simple planar elongational flow.

The use of FIB to measure stress anisotropy requires the stress-optical rule to remain linear in the strain-hardening regime. Simultaneous measurements of the tensile stress and birefringence as a function of time at constant tensile strain rate for LDPE were performed by Kotaka *et al.* (1997) and indicated that a linear stress-optical rule can be assumed up to about 1 MPa in the case of polyethylene. Koyama and Ishizuka (1989) performed rheo-optical measurements in elongation on molten commercial LDPE using a Meissner-type elongational rheometer and found a linear stress-birefringence relationship in the birefringent patterns, even when strain hardening is seen in the nonlinear elongational viscosity. This is consistent with the relevant polymer physics: Strain-hardening onset corresponds to stretch at the level of entanglement strands, whereas a breakdown in the stress-optical linear rule corresponds to stretch at the level of segments [McLeish (2002)].

Constitutive modeling of polymer melts has evolved to focus on relating molecular architecture to macroscale stress response. For LCB polymer melts, various versions of the Pom-pom model by McLeish and Larson (1998) have been used [e.g., Clemeur *et al.* (2003); McLeish (2002); Öttinger (2001); Verbeeten *et al.* (2001)]. The molecular stretch function model [Wagner and Rolon-Garrido (2008)] predicts an overshoot in transient extension. In this paper, we use an adaptation of the Pom-pom model that incorporates a transient overshoot in extension. This is an empirical alteration that we have incorporated into our finite element simulations. The physical mechanism of the overshoot is yet to be fully resolved, but the Pom-pom model used here helps demonstrate the link between the transient extensional overshoot and the flow features seen in cross-slot flow experiments.

Various constitutive equations have been examined previously in a cross-slot geometry. For example, Bogaerds *et al.* (1999) showed that the Giesekus and Phan-Thien Tanner models fail to predict downstream principal stresses in cross-slot geometry for polymer solutions due to a failure of capturing extensional stresses. Abedijaberi *et al.* (2009) investigated the flow of LDPE branched polymer melts in a lubricated cross-slot channel by experiments and flow simulations. Hassell *et al.* (2009) compared the birefringence patterns of a range of moderately branched (metallocene-catalyzed) polyethylenes with the predictions of the Pom-pom model [Blackwell *et al.* (2000)]. As the degree of branching increases they observed a transition in the birefringence pattern from a single cusp along the outflow axis to a double cusp, which they named (W-cusps). This pattern indicates that the position of maximum stress difference is no longer at the SP, but away from the outflow axis. While the Pom-pom model was able to reproduce the general levels of principal stress difference (PSD), it did not reproduce the W-cusp pattern. Our subsequent extensive exploration of the constitutive parameter space of the multimode Pom-pom model, including fully three-dimensional simulations, has also failed to produce this phenomenon.

In this paper, we compare extensional stress measurements on a set of LCB polymers using two different extensional rheometers: The actively controlled FSR [Bach *et al.* (2003)] and the cross-slot extensional rheometer (CSER) [Auhl *et al.* (2011)]. We show that for range of strain-rates where both instruments can be used that the extensional stress at large strains is in good agreement, suggesting that it is possible to define an

effective “steady-state” extensional viscosity for these materials. Finally, we show that by incorporating a transient maximum in the extensional stress response into the constitutive model we are able to reproduce the W-cusps.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL

Three different branched polyethylene melts were studied: A highly branched LDPE Dow 150R and two moderately branched high-density polyethylenes (HDPE) HDB4 and HDB6 (see Table I). These materials have been used in a number of previous rheological studies. The linear rheology of HDB4 and HDB6 has been reported by [Das \*et al.\* \(2006\)](#) and that of Dow150R by [Hassell \*et al.\* \(2008\)](#). The shear and uniaxial extension rheology were measured at the same temperature as the subsequent cross-slot and FSR experiments [[Auhl \*et al.\* \(2011\)](#)]. Shear flow experiments were conducted with an ARES rheometer (Advanced Rheometric Expansion System, Rheometric Scientific) in order to obtain both the linear rheological and nonlinear shear flow behavior. The nonlinear flow behavior in uniaxial elongation was measured using the uniaxial stretching device SER (Xpansion Instruments) attached to the ARES rheometer [[Sentmanat \(2004\)](#)]. Specimen dimensions (compression molded to 1 mm thick and 10 mm wide samples) at test temperature were corrected to consider thermal expansion by using the room-temperature density and the thermal expansion coefficient of the samples. All of the rheological experiments were carried out under a nitrogen atmosphere. Further rheological tests to assess the thermal stability of the samples were conducted to ensure that the molar mass distribution and the molecular structure did not change during experiments. Thermal stability of at least  $10^4$ s was found for all materials.

### A. Filament stretching rheometry

Extensional measurements using the SER rheometer are limited to cases where the sample remains homogeneous. In order to explore higher strains as the deformation becomes inhomogeneous, we require an experiment in which the material whose stress is being measured experiences a kinematically steady extensional flow. These measurements are performed with an FSR equipped with an oven to allow measurements up to about 200 °C [[Bach \*et al.\* \(2003\)](#)]. The key feature of this rheometer is that it uses active feedback through the measurement of the midplane diameter to control the strain rate at the midplane of the filament, which provided that a critical strain rate  $\dot{\epsilon}_{sag} = \rho g L_0 / \eta_0$  is exceeded [[McKinley and Sridhar \(2002\)](#)] in the thinnest part of the filament. Therefore, on-line measurements of the midplane diameter serve the dual purpose of recording the actual strain and strain-rate at the midfilament plane and providing input for the feedback control on the plate motion to achieve desired kinematics. Specifically, the Hencky strain and the mean value of the stress difference over the midfilament plane [[Szabo \(1997\)](#)] are calculated from observations of the diameter  $D(t)$  and the force on the bottom plate  $F(t)$  as

**TABLE I.** Material properties of polyethylenes studied.

Sample	Code	$M_W$ (kg/mol)	$M_W/M_N$	T (°C)	$\eta_0$ (kPa s)	$\bar{\tau}_b$ (s)
LDPE1	Dow150R	242	11	160	368	428
HDPE1	HDB4	96	2.1	155	200	56
HDPE2	HDB6	68	2.2	155	50	28



$$\epsilon(t) = -2 \ln(D(t)/D_0) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\langle \sigma_{zz} - \sigma_{rr} \rangle = \frac{F(t) - m_f g/2}{\pi R(t)^2}, \quad (2.2)$$

where the angular brackets denote an average over the symmetry plane,  $R(t) = D(t)/2$  is the radius of the filament,  $g$  the gravitational acceleration, and  $m_f$  the weight of the polymer filament. Consequently on the assumption that the stress is uniform across the midplane, the force measurement gives the normal stress difference at the midplane of the filament where the fluid has experienced a constant extension-rate, even though the overall extension-rate of the filament is nonuniform. Each material was tested a number of times to ensure reproducibility of the results.

Since the initial sample length is  $L_0 = 2.5$  mm compared with a plate radius  $R_0 = 4.5$  mm, the initial sample aspect is small, and consequently at small strains not all of the stress difference is due to the extensional viscosity. Part of the stress difference comes from a radial pressure variation in the cross-section due to the shear flow that is unavoidable at small aspect ratios. To compensate for this effect, we define the corrected transient uniaxial elongation viscosity by


$$\bar{\eta}_{corr}^+ = \frac{\langle \sigma_{zz} - \sigma_{rr} \rangle}{\dot{\epsilon}_0} \left( 1 + \frac{\exp(-5\epsilon/3 - \Lambda_0^3)}{3\Lambda_0^2} \right)^{-1}, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\Lambda_0 = L_0/R_0$  is the initial aspect ratio. The correction is a modification [Rasmussen *et al.* (2010)] of the relation derived from a lubrication analysis at small strains [Spiegelberg *et al.* (1996)]. For large strains, the correction vanishes and the radial variation of the stress in the symmetry plane becomes negligible [Kolte *et al.* (1997)].

## B. Cross-slot extensional rheology

The cross-slot flow experiments to measure the steady planar extensional rheology were performed using the CSER, in which a cross-slot insert is used in the Cambridge multipass rheometer (MPR) [Mackley *et al.* (1995)]. This instrument allows simultaneous measurement of pressure and optical birefringence as detailed in Coventry and Mackley (2008) and used for a number of different polymer melt flow studies [e.g., Hassell and Mackley (2008, 2009); Hassell *et al.* (2009)]. The birefringence was measured using a circularly polarized monochromatic light beam of 514 nm using polarizers and quarter waveplates either side of the optical test section which contained stress free quartz windows. The stress-induced birefringence patterns were captured by a digital video camera [Collis and Mackley (2005)]. From the top and bottom reservoirs, the polymer material is driven in opposite directions along two perpendicular channels by pistons at a controlled rate through the cross-slot into two horizontal side channels capped by slave pistons [Fig. 1(a)]. Thereby, the material is maintained within the MPR and can be forced back by nitrogen pressure through the cross-slot insert into the top and bottom reservoirs for subsequent runs. The cross-slot geometry insert used in this study consists of four perpendicular, intersecting coplanar channels with a depth of 10 mm and aspect ratio of approximately 7 [Fig. 1(b)]. This generates a pure and controllable elongational deformation in the neighborhood of the stagnation line along the middle section of the centre-axis of the cross, but essentially simple shear near the outer walls, e.g., Coventry and Mackley (2008) and Hassell *et al.* (2008). Full three-dimensional flow simulations and experiments have been performed for both linear polystyrene [Lord *et al.* (2010)] and LCB-polyethylenes





**FIG. 1.** (a) Schematic outlining the Cambridge MPR core and (b) the dimensions and flow direction for the cross-slot geometry insert as used in the midsection of the MPR. The associated flow directions are indicated by arrows.

[Hoyle (2011)] in this geometry, where it was demonstrated that this aspect ratio is sufficiently large for the flow to be approximated as a two-dimensional planar flow within the experimental uncertainty of the stress measurements themselves, confirming previous simulation studies of Clemeur *et al.* (2004).

At steady state, a molecule at a point along the stagnation line experiences a constant extension-rate  $\dot{\epsilon}_C$  that is approximately proportional to the piston speed, but varies with polymer rheology due to changes in the flow pattern. To determine the extension-rate for each experiment, we performed flow simulations using a multimode Pom-pom model fitted to the measured rheology of the material. We have shown previously [Hassell *et al.* (2009); Hoyle (2011)] that changes in the velocity field at points around the stagnation line measured using laser Doppler velocimetry are captured by the Pom-pom model and further are dependent upon the level of LCB present in a material.

The steady-state elongational viscosity  $\eta_p^+$  is calculated from the tensile stress difference  $\sigma_{std}$  along the stagnation line and the extension-rate  $\dot{\epsilon}_C$  there

$$\eta_p^+ = \frac{\sigma_{std}}{\dot{\epsilon}_C}. \quad (2.4)$$

Here,  $\sigma_{std} = (\sigma_{xx} - \sigma_{yy})$  is the PSD between the extensional  $x$  and the compressional  $y$  axes. This was determined from the fringe-counting [using the method detailed in Auhl *et al.* (2011)] as  $\sigma_{std} = \frac{\Delta n}{C}$ , where  $C$  is the stress-optical coefficient. Stress-optical coefficients taken from Hassell *et al.* (2008) were used, which are in quantitative agreement with the range given in the literature for polyethylene of  $1.2 - 2.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{Pa}^{-1}$  [Macosko (1994)]. According to the theory of rubber elasticity and experiments, the stress-optical coefficient is only weakly dependent on temperature [Koyama and Ishizuka (1989)] and so the same stress-optical coefficient was used for the experiments at 155 and 160 °C. In all cases, the stresses are below the 1 MPa limit where the stress-optical rule is expected to be valid [Kotaka *et al.* (1997); Koyama and Ishizuka (1989); McLeish (2002)].

### III. CONSTITUTIVE MODELING AND FINITE ELEMENT SIMULATION TECHNIQUES

The rheology for highly entangled polymers is strongly dependent on molecular topology. McLeish and Larson [McLeish and Larson (1998); McLeish (2002)] developed a

constitutive model for branched polymers based upon the molecular theory for a melt of Pom-pom molecules, consisting of a backbone chain connecting two identical star polymers. Although derived with this particular molecular architecture in mind, the Pom-pom model captures the essential physics of branched melt rheology, and can be applied to more general architectures. A multimode Pom-pom model was introduced by [Inkson \*et al.\* \(1999\)](#) and later modified by [Blackwell \*et al.\* \(2000\)](#) to account for polydispersity and high complexities of multilevel branching.

In the multimode Pom-pom model, the extra stress tensor is formed as sum of products of the square of the backbone stretch,  $\lambda_i(t)$ , and orientation tensor,  $\mathbf{S}_i(t)$ , from each mode,

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = M^{-1} \sum_i^N G_i \lambda_i^2(t) \mathbf{S}_i(t), \quad (3.1)$$

where  $G_i$  are the linear viscoelastic moduli and  $M^{-1}$  is a dimensionless constant that depends upon the equation used to obtain the orientation tensor. The original Pom-pom model uses an integral equation for which  $M^{-1} = \frac{15}{4}$ . However, since this form is computationally expensive a differential approximation based on the upper-convected Maxwell model was introduced for which  $M^{-1} = 3$ . The differential model is more commonly used particularly in complex flow calculations as it is computationally simpler.

The differential approximation uses an auxiliary tensor  $\mathbf{A}_i$ , for each mode  $i$ , that satisfies the upper-convected Maxwell constitutive equation

$$\frac{D\mathbf{A}}{Dt} = \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{K}^T - \frac{1}{\tau_b} (\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I}). \quad (3.2)$$

Here (and from now on) we have suppressed the mode index,  $i$  from  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\tau_b$ . The orientation is given by the unit tensor

$$\mathbf{S} = \frac{\mathbf{A}}{\text{tr} \mathbf{A}}. \quad (3.3)$$

The dynamic equation describing the stretched backbone is given in [Blackwell \*et al.\* \(2000\)](#) and [McLeish \(2002\)](#) as

$$\frac{D}{Dt} \lambda(t) = \lambda(t) \mathbf{K} : \mathbf{S} - \frac{1}{\tau_s} (\lambda(t) - 1) e^{\nu^* (\lambda(t) - 1)}, \quad (3.4)$$

with  $\nu^* = \frac{2}{q-1}$ , for  $\lambda$  up to a maximum value of  $q$ , at which point the branch points retract into the backbone tube.

A number of variants of this model have been developed including: a thermodynamically motivated differential model suggested by [Öttinger \(2001\)](#), the extended Pom-pom (XPP) differential model [[Verbeeten \*et al.\* \(2001\)](#)], and the double convected Pom-pom (DCPP) [[Clemeur \*et al.\* \(2003\)](#)]. These models drop the maximum stretch condition and improve the quantitative agreement with the integral model, in particular, to give a non-zero second normal stress difference in shear.

The various versions of the Pom-pom model have been shown to be able to quantitatively fit the transient shear and extensional behavior of a variety of different branched polymers up to the maximum in the extensional viscosity [[Blackwell \*et al.\* \(2000\)](#); [Inkson \*et al.\* \(1999\)](#); [Verbeeten \*et al.\* \(2001\)](#)]. However, the Pom-pom constitutive model cannot

produce a transient overshoot in extension, such as that seen by [Bach \*et al.\* \(2003\)](#), [Nielsen \*et al.\* \(2006\)](#), and [Rasmussen \*et al.\* \(2005\)](#). This is because once the tube segments align with the flow axis,  $\mathbf{K} : \mathbf{S} \simeq \dot{\varepsilon}$  and the stretch equation reduce to an autonomous first order ordinary differential equation, and so  $\lambda$  cannot overshoot its steady-state value.

The molecular origin of a transient overshoot in extensional flow is not currently understood. By incorporating the effect of tube pressure into the integral molecular stretch function, constitutive models [[Rolon-Garrido and Wagner \(2009\)](#); [Wagner and Rolon-Garrido \(2008\)](#)] were able to fit the experimental data on a melt of Pom-pom shaped molecules in [Nielsen \*et al.\* \(2006\)](#). However, the existence of a tube pressure that occurs when the tubes are deformed is a controversial idea whose molecular origin is unclear. The model predicts an overshoot followed by a steady state for all strain rates. The Pom-pom melt experimental data used did not reach steady state and so it could not be determined if the correct steady state was predicted by the model. In order to explore the consequences of extensional overshoots in the cross-slot flow, we choose at this point to proceed phenomenologically, suspending judgment on the underlying molecular reasons for such behavior.

To produce an overshoot, the Pom-pom model requires an additional stretch relaxation process that is dependent upon  $\mathbf{S}$ . This is included as an additional relaxation rate  $\tau_*^{-1}$  in the stretch equation (3.4) to give

$$\frac{D\lambda}{Dt} = \lambda \mathbf{S} : \mathbf{K} - \left( \frac{1}{\tau_s} + \frac{1}{\tau_*} \right) (\lambda - 1) e^{\nu^*(\lambda-1)}. \quad (3.5)$$

If this additional relaxation mechanism arises from advection by the flow, then it should be proportional to the extension-rate along the tube segments; hence, the relaxation time should be of the form


$$\frac{1}{\tau_*} = f(\mathbf{S}) |\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{K}|, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $f$  is a function of the degree of alignment. Since this relaxation process becomes significant only once the tube segments become highly aligned, we choose a form for  $f$  so that it switches from 0 to a positive value  $C_R$  as the orientation tends to perfect alignment, by setting

$$f(\mathbf{S}) = C_R (\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{S}^T)^\alpha, \quad (3.7)$$

where the parameter  $\alpha$  is used to define how aligned the material should be to trigger this additional relaxation. In a strong planar extensional flow (i.e., one for which the orientation Weissenberg number  $Wi_b = \dot{\varepsilon} \tau_b \gg 1$ ), the scalar  $\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{S}^T \simeq 1 - 2 \exp(-2\varepsilon)$  where  $\varepsilon$  is the Hencky strain,  $\dot{\varepsilon} t$  so that this function switches on the additional relaxation after a Hencky strain of approximately  $\frac{1}{2} \log_e(2\alpha)$ . So that, for this relaxation to trigger after a Hencky strain of 2.6, requires a value of  $\alpha$  of around 100. In uniaxial extension,  $\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{S}^T \simeq 1 - \frac{4}{Wi_b} \exp(-2\varepsilon)$ .

The effect of varying the value of  $\alpha$  is illustrated in Fig. 2. Figure 2(a) shows the extensional viscosity for a one mode Pom-pom model with  $G = 100$  Pa,  $\tau_b = 5$  s,  $q = 10$ ,  $\tau_s = 1.25$  s for strain rates of 0.01, 0.1, 1, and  $10 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (giving orientation Weissenberg numbers of  $Wi_b = \dot{\varepsilon} \tau_b = 0.05, 0.5, 5$ , and 50 and stretch Weissenberg numbers of  $Wi_s = \dot{\varepsilon} \tau_s = 0.0125, 0.125, 1.25$ , and 12.5, respectively). The different lines show various choices of the power  $\alpha$  with a fixed value of  $C_R = 2$ . The figure shows that the steady-state value of the overshoot Pom-pom (OPP) model does not depend on  $\alpha$ , but that the value of  $\alpha$  controls the strain at which the additional relaxation is triggered.



**FIG. 2.** (a) The transient extensional viscosity for a single mode overshoot Pompos model  $\{G = 100 \text{ Pa}, \tau_b = 5 \text{ s}, q = 10, \tau_s = 1.25 \text{ s}\}$  in uniaxial extension. The four set of curves shows the effect of variations in the power law  $\alpha$  from 10 to 1000 with  $C_R = 2$  for various stretch Weissenberg numbers ( $Wi_s = \dot{\epsilon}\tau_s$ ). As  $\alpha$  is increased so does the amount of alignment needed for the extra relaxation time,  $\tau_*$ , to become dominant. This has the effect of delaying relaxation until a higher Hencky strain has been reached causing a bigger difference between the maximum and the steady-state extensional viscosity. (b) A plot of the measured alignment,  $(S:S^T)^\alpha$ , for the same stretch Weissenberg numbers. As  $\alpha$  is increased so does the strain taken for the measured alignment to approach unity and thus delays the transient overshoot.

Figure 2(a) shows the value of  $(S:S^T)^\alpha$  for the same one mode Pompos model in uniaxial extension, seen in Fig. 2(a). Only the highest strain rates (1 and 10 reciprocal seconds, or  $Wi_s = 1.25$  and  $12.5$ ) align enough material for  $\tau_*$  to become nontrivial, which coincide with the stretch Weissenberg number being greater than unity. For these two strain rates the various choices of  $\alpha$  are shown and as before, the higher the value of  $\alpha$  the larger the strain required to orientate the material sufficiently for this extra relaxation to contribute. For  $\alpha = 10, 100$ , and  $1000$ , the Hencky strain at which steady state is observed is approximately 3, 4, and 5, respectively.


Figure 3(a) shows the effect of varying  $C_R$  for a fixed value of  $\alpha = 100$ . As the parameter  $C_R$  is increased so does the contribution of  $\tau_*$  and the steady-state value of extensional viscosity decreases. Varying  $C_R$  [cf. Fig. 3(a)] makes little difference in the Hencky strain at which steady state is achieved (approximately a Hencky strain of 4.5).

The inclusion of this additional stretch relaxation means that the backbone tubes are no longer fully extended at steady state. Indeed in Fig. 2(a), the maximum stretch condition ( $q = 10$ ) was only reached for  $C_R = 0$ . For lower values of  $q$ , it is still possible to achieve maximum stretch during the transient. This is illustrated in for the case  $q = 3$  in Fig. 3(b) where we consider the one mode Pompos model with  $G = 100 \text{ Pa}$ ,  $\tau_b = 10 \text{ s}$ ,  $\tau_s = 2.5 \text{ s}$  for choices of  $q = 3, 10$ , and  $20$  where the overshoot parameters are  $\alpha = 1000$  and  $C_R = 2$ . Thus with the exception of cases where  $q$  is small, the restriction of Eq. (3.5) to  $\lambda < q$  becomes redundant and so removes one of the differences between the Pompos model and the XPP and DCP models. However, the steady-state value still depends upon the value of  $q$  since  $\nu^* = \frac{2}{q-1}$ .

In transient shear flow, the extra stretch relaxation is negligible (as the orientation tensor  $\underline{\underline{S}}$  does not become sufficiently aligned) and the stress response is left unchanged.

## A. Finite element solutions

Two-dimensional calculations of the flow of the Pompos model in the cross-slot geometry were performed using a finite element method to compute strain-rate and PSD.



**FIG. 3.** (a) The transient extensional viscosity for a single mode overshoot Pompos model  $\{G = 100 \text{ Pa}, \tau_b = 5 \text{ s}, q = 10, \tau_s = 1.25 \text{ s}\}$  in uniaxial extension. The four set of curves shows the effect of variations in parameter  $C_R$  from 1 to 5 with  $\alpha = 100$  for various stretch Weissenberg numbers ( $Wi_s = \dot{\epsilon}\tau_s$ ). The parameter  $C_R$  does not affect the strain needed to achieve an overshoot but it does affect the magnitude of  $\tau_s$  and thus determines the steady-state extensional viscosity. (b) The effect of the branch number  $q$  on transient extensional viscosity for a single mode overshoot Pompos model  $\{G = 100 \text{ Pa}, \tau_b = 5 \text{ s}, \tau_s = 1.25 \text{ s}, C_R = 2, \alpha = 100\}$  in uniaxial extension. The four set of curves shows the effect of variations in parameter  $q$  from 3 to 20 for the same stretch Weissenberg numbers. In the case  $q = 3$  maximum stretch is achieved during the transient, but for larger values  $q = 10$  and  $20$ ,  $\lambda$  remains strictly less than  $q$  for all time and the differences are due only to the change to  $\nu^*$ .

Details of the numerical scheme are given in the references [Tenchev *et al.* (2008); Lord *et al.* (2010)]. Due to symmetry, only one quarter of the cross-slot domain was calculated. Upstream we impose fully developed channel flow equivalent to the chosen experimental volume flux. Spatial convergence was checked by comparing solutions obtained on two different meshes of 970 and 2600 nodes. Simulations took up to 48 h on a 1.7 GHz processor depending on mesh refinement and time step interval.


Since Eq. (3.2) allows unlimited stretch of the auxiliary tensor  $\mathbf{A}$ , a finitely extensible nonlinear elastic modification was made to the relaxation term to limit the trace of  $\mathbf{A}$  to 1000. A penalty scheme was used to limit the stretch variable to the range  $0 \leq \lambda(t) \leq q$ , though as noted above this now occurs rarely due to the additional relaxation term.

## B. The OPP model in cross-slot flow

In cross-slot flow, the difference between the maximum extensional stress and the steady-state value determines the size of the W-cusp and transient development of the extensional stress overshoot will determine the shape of the W-cusps. This is illustrated in Fig. 4 where in Fig. 4(a) the effect of varying the power law parameter on the shape of a PSD contour (of value 3 kPa) for a fixed  $C_R = 2$  is shown. At the lowest power law,  $\alpha = 10$  [see Fig. 2], the stretch relaxes at a lower strain and so the double cusps are shorter and wider than for the higher power laws.

Figure 4(b) shows how varying  $C_R$  affects the position of the 0.3 kPa contour. The W-cusps are now all the same width (which as we have seen is associated with the value of  $\alpha$ ); however, the length of the cusp is shorter for higher values of  $C_R$  where the stretch relaxation is faster at high strains.

Figure 5 compares the magnitude of the PSD and the degree of orientation  $\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{S}^T$  along the SP streamline as functions of the distance from the SP. Figure 5(a) shows the effect of changes to  $\alpha$ , which occurs mainly upstream from the SP. Here, the stress initially grows toward the SP and overshoots as a function of strain history, with the various power laws showing the same behavior as in Fig. 2. The small differences at and




**FIG. 4.** (a) Computed contours of constant principal stress difference for the one mode Pompom model used in Fig. 2, examining how the power law  $\alpha$  affects W-cusps in cross-slot flow. The dashed line shows  $\alpha = 10$ , the solid line  $\alpha = 100$ , and the dotted line  $\alpha = 1000$ . (b) The effect of varying  $C_R$  on the W-cusps in the cross-slot flow using the single mode Pompom models used in Fig. 3. The dotted line shows  $C_R = 5$ , the solid line shows  $C_R = 2$ , and the dashed line shows  $C_R = 1$ .

downstream of the SP arise from flow modification, since  $\alpha$  does not affect the steady-state stress. Similarly, the changes in the transient development of  $\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{S}^T$  arise from changes in the flow pattern near the SP.


In Fig. 5(b), the three choices of  $C_R$  do not change the values of  $\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{S}^T$  demonstrating that it is  $\alpha$  rather than  $C_R$  which has the dominant effect on flow pattern. Similarly changes to the PSD occur only at high strains affecting the maximum and steady-state values of the PSD in the same way as in the uniaxial stretching flow shown in Fig. 3. The smallest maximum and steady state are associated with the largest value of  $C_R$  since this provides the strongest stretch relaxation.

#### IV. RESULTS

First, we compare the extensional viscosity measurements from the FSR, the Sentmanat extensional rheometer (SER), and the CSER. Figure 6 shows the steady-state



**FIG. 5.** PSD and  $\mathbf{S} : \mathbf{S}^T$  along the SP streamline as a function of distance from the SP showing variations in (a)  $\alpha$  and (b)  $C_R$ . Negative distance from the SP corresponds to the inlet channel and positive distance the outlet channel.



**FIG. 6.** A comparison of the steady-state extensional viscosity measurements from the FSR and the CSER for three polyethylene samples detailed in Table I. The open symbols show the FSR results and the closed symbols show the CSER data.


extensional viscosity measurements from the FSR and the CSER for the three polyethylene samples used in this work (cf. Table I). The FSR values are obtained from the average stress measurement at large strains and the CSER from the steady-state birefringence patterns. For two of the materials, there are sufficient data to examine the overlap of the two experimental methods (Dow150R and HDB6) where there is good agreement between the two. This is despite the differences in the nature of the flows, with the FSR being uniaxial and the CSER planar extensional flow. Even for HDB4, where there is a gap in the data, the steady-state stress values still show the close agreement to the same trend.

For strain rates where data are available, we also compare the transient stress growth in Figs. 7 and 8. Figure 7 shows the two LCB-HDPEs named HDB4 and HDB6 with data measured from the SER and CSER and Fig. 8 shows the LDPE Dow150R. Details of the materials are given in Table I. The comparison of transient data shows good agreement between the two filament stretching methods up to the point at which the SER samples rupture and break, at Hencky strains of around 4 (the FSR is capable of Hencky strains up to 7). As a general observation for these three materials, the transient build up of stress measured by the FSR is faster than that of the SER. Also, as anticipated the SER never goes far enough in Hencky strain to observe an extensional stress maximum or steady-state value. In contrast, the FSR data show a clear overshoot in stress. The presence of a steady state in Figs. 7 and 8 is obscured by the logarithmic axes that compress the large time results.

In Fig. 8, we also show the fit of the OPP model to both the transient startup flow (a) and for the steady-state extensional viscosity (b). The OPP model was parameterized with 12 modes. The linear Maxwell parameters ( $\tau_{bi}$  and  $G_i$ ) were fitted to linear oscillatory rheology using RepTate.<sup>1</sup> The nonlinear parameters ( $\tau_{si}$  and  $q_i$  for each mode, together with  $C_R$  and  $\alpha$ ) were fitted by hand to extensional data starting from values from

<sup>1</sup>Ramirez, J., and A. E. Likhtman, RepTate: Rheology of Entangled Polymers, Toolkit for Analysis of Theory and Experiment (2007), <http://www.reptate.com>






**FIG. 7.** A comparison between the transient extensional stress response as measured by the FSR (closed) and the SER (open) for HDB6 (left) and HDB4 (right). The figure shows a good agreement of the initial stress growth, until sample rupture limits the SER to Hencky strains of around 4.

a previous fit to the non-OPP model [Auhl *et al.* (2011); Hoyle (2011)]. The overshoot parameters are chosen to be the same for all modes to reduce the number of variables. This provides some unwanted overshoots at low strain rates, but we tolerate this as we are focusing on modeling the CSER birefringence images and so we aim to optimize the fit to this range of extensional strain rates.

The OPP model captures the startup extensional stress of Dow150R well [Fig. 8(a)] and for the three higher strain rates, where an overshoot in the stress is observed, the model also captures this. For the two lower strain rates, no large experimental overshoot is observed; however, the parameterized OPP model still displays one. This is reflected in the steady-state predictions of the FSR, the CSER, and the OPP model [Fig. 8(b)]. The OPP model fits the CSER data well but for lower strain rates the model under predicts the



**FIG. 8.** (a) A plot comparing extensional data and OPP theory for Dow150R. Strain rates range from 0.003 to 0.3 s<sup>-1</sup>. The closed symbols show the SER data and the open symbols data from the FSR achieving higher Hencky strains than the SER. The lines show the theoretical prediction from the OPP model. (b) The steady-state extensional viscosity values from the FSR and the CSER are compared to the prediction of the Pompon model fitted to the data.

steady-state stress due to the presence of the overshoot in the model that is not seen experimentally. The reason the OPP model overshoots for these slow strain rates is because the overshoot parameters are kept constant for all modes, but as previously stated we are most interested in the strain-rates measured in the CSER.

In Fig. 9, we show the fit of the OPP model to the HDPE material HDB6. HDB4 is not plotted but is similar. For this material, the FSR is not able to function at the strain-rates used in the CSER, and so for these strain-rates we used the transient data from the SER in fitting the model. As with the LDPE, Dow150R, the transient build up of the extensional viscosity is well captured by the model. As with the Dow 150R material the high strain-rate regime is fitted well by the data, but simultaneously fitting the low strain-rate regime is not possible with a single overshoot parameter  $C_R$ .

In Fig. 10, finite element simulations of Dow150R with the spectrum used in Fig. 8 are compared to the experimental birefringence stress patterns for flow rates giving SP extension-rates of  $\dot{\epsilon}_C = 0.035\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}_C = 0.070\text{s}^{-1}$ , and  $\dot{\epsilon}_C = 0.174\text{s}^{-1}$ , correspond to Weissenberg flow numbers of  $W_i = \dot{\epsilon}_C \bar{\tau}_b = 15, 30$ , and  $75$ , respectively (where  $\bar{\tau}_b$  is the viscosity averaged relaxation time). The simulations show an excellent agreement with the birefringence patterns, predicting not only the number of fringes around the SP but also the shape of the fringe pattern including the correct position of the W-cusps at each flow rate.

We achieve a similar level of agreement for HDB6. The experimental flow rates correspond to strain rates of  $\dot{\epsilon}_C = 0.70\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}_C = 1.74\text{s}^{-1}$ , and  $\dot{\epsilon}_C = 3.48\text{s}^{-1}$  giving Weissenberg numbers of  $19.6, 48.7$ , and  $97.4$ , from top to bottom in Fig. 11. Again, the number of fringes and the shape of the fringe pattern are estimated well. It is also noticeable that the shape of the W-cusps is different between the Dow150R and HDB6. We note that this is not a consequence of orientation Weissenberg number as these are similar. The shape of the cusps for the LDPE Dow150R is highly extended compared to that of HDPE HDB6, as a consequence of the increased level of branching in the LDPE. Also, the W-cusps for Dow150R are also more highly stretched (i.e., the distance from the tip of the double cusps to the centre line dip) compared to HDB6, which is also due to the level of LCB [Auhl *et al.* (2011)].

The OPP model captures the W-cusp rheology well, predicting both the form of the fringe pattern and the fringe number to within half a fringe for all three Weissenberg





FIG. 9. The transient (a) and steady-state (b) data for HDB6 are plotted along side the OPP model fitted to the data (lines). For the transient fit, the slower strain rates were measured by the FSR and the higher rates by the SER. The steady-state data came from the FSR and the CSER.




**FIG. 10.** A comparison of between FIB in cross-slot flow and 2D simulations of the OPP parameterization for LDPE Dow150R. The values of overshoot parameters are  $C_r = 0.8$  and  $\alpha = 1000$ , and the transient extensional rheology is shown in Fig. 8. The black lines in the simulations represent the black contours of the experimental PSD for initial strain rates of  $\dot{\epsilon}_C = 0.035\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}_C = 0.070\text{s}^{-1}$ , and  $\dot{\epsilon}_C = 0.174\text{s}^{-1}$  from top to bottom.

numbers. However, the phenomenology of the OPP model does not account for every detail of the flow. For example, the size of the W-cusps is over predicted near the SP. As with the original Pom-pom model, the OPP model fails to capture the overall pattern collapse [cf. [Hassell and Mackley \(2009\)](#)] observed for all W-cusping materials. The overall pattern collapse is seen as a decrease in the total number of fringes observed over time. That is, the number of fringes at steady state is usually less than the highest number of fringes observed by a half or one whole fringe. Also the transient development of the pattern is much faster in simulations than in experiments. In experiments, the W-cusps initially occur at a strain of around 5, whereas in transient simulations, not shown, W-cusps first occur at a strain of 2.

The clarity of the steady-state experimental FIB images means that we can determine the PSD as a function of position along the stream line through the SP, which is shown in Fig. 12. These results confirm the visual agreement of the PSD contours. However, the simulations overpredict the stress difference slightly for the two lower Weissenberg numbers and also overpredict the magnitude of PSD overshoot.


Downstream of the SP the stress relaxation of the cusps is not predicted well. Visually, this corresponds to simulations not predicting the appearance of the lower fringe orders away from the SP along the outflow centre line.



**FIG. 11.** A comparison of between FIB in cross-slot flow and 2D simulations of the OPP parameterization for HDPE HDB6. The values of overshoot parameters are  $C_r = 2.0$  and  $\alpha = 1000$ , and the transient extensional rheology is shown in Fig. 9. The black lines in the simulations represent the black contours of the experimental PSD for initial strain rates of  $\dot{\epsilon}_C = 0.70\text{s}^{-1}$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon}_C = 1.74\text{s}^{-1}$ , and  $\dot{\epsilon}_C = 3.48\text{s}^{-1}$  from top to bottom.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we compared three experimental techniques for measuring the extensional viscosity of LCB polymer melts. The two filament stretching devices (the SER and the FSR) measure startup of uniaxial extensional flow, but with FSR capable of reaching higher Hencky strains due to its feedback control. The CSER measures the steady-state planar extensional viscosity through the stress birefringence at the SP. (The transient viscosity can also be inferred from the stress growth on the incoming stagnation streamline.) All three experimental techniques show excellent agreement for strain-rates at which they can all operate. However, in general the experimental windows of the FSR and the CSER are complimentary to one another with the FSR operating in a low strain-rate regime and the CSER operating in a high strain-rate regime. Hence, we have a robust technique for probing a materials extensional behavior. For the three materials we investigated here, we could accurately characterize the steady-state extensional viscosity. The experiments revealed consistent and striking phenomena unique to strain-hardening melts (seen for all LCB melts); in the FSR, this is manifest as overshoots in the transient stress, which produce W-cusps in the CSER.



**FIG. 12.** A comparison between the OPP simulations and the experimentally measured position of the FIB contours of constant PSD for HDB6 at three flow rates.

We have modified the Pompon model to capture this nonmonotonic stress growth in order to fit the full extensional rheology in both transient and steady-state response. Although this modification is not based on molecular physics, it allows us to make a crucial link between the two flow investigated here. By fitting this model to extensional data, we are able to reproduce the birefringence patterns observed in the cross-slot device. From this, we conclude that the spatial W-fringes are the consequence of the temporal stress overshoot in extension of LCB melts. (It should be noted that our extensive investigations with the original Pompon model failed to reproduce the W-fringe pattern.) The current overshoot model, however, fails to capture the relaxation dynamics observed downstream of the SP. A clear future goal would be to derive a constitutive model that is based on molecular detail and captures the full range of characteristics observed in extensional experiments.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the EPSRC Microscale Polymer Processing Research Project (GR/T11807/01 and GR/T11821/01) and the European Union Marie Curie Initial Training Network DYNACOP (214627) for their financial support.

## References

- Abedijaberi, A., J. Soulages, M. Kröger, and B. Khomami, "Flow of branched polymer melts in a lubricated cross-slot channel: A combined computational and experimental study," *Rheol. Acta* **48**, 97–108 (2009).
- Aho, J., V. H. Rolon-Garrido, S. Syrjala, and M. H. Wagner, "Extensional viscosity in uniaxial extension and contraction flow—Comparison of experimental methods and application of the molecular stress function model," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **165**(5–6), 212–218 (2010a).
- Aho, J., V. H. Rolon-Garrido, S. Syrjala, and M. H. Wagner, "Measurement technique and data analysis of extensional viscosity for polymer melts by Sentmanat extensional rheometer (SER)," *Rheol. Acta* **49**(4), 359–370 (2010b).
- Auhl, D., D. M. Hoyle, D. Hassell, T. D. Lord, O. G. Harlen, M. R. Mackley, and T. C. B. McLeish, "Cross-slot extensional rheometry and the steady-state extensional response of long chain branched polymer melts," *J. Rheol.* **55**(4), 875–900 (2011).



- Bach, A., H. K. Rasmussen, and O. Hassager, "Extensional viscosity for polymer melts measured in the filament stretching rheometer," *J. Rheol.* **47**(2), 429–441 (2003).
- Bent, J., L. R. Hutchings, R. W. Richards, T. Gough, R. Spares, P. D. Coates, I. Grillo, O. G. Harlen, D. J. Read, R. S. Graham, A. E. Likhtman, D. J. Groves, T. M. Nicholson, and T. C. B. McLeish, "Neutron-mapping polymer flow: Scattering, flow visualisation and molecular theory," *Science* **301**, 1691–1695 (2003).
- Blackwell, R. J., O. G. Harlen, and T. C. B. McLeish, "Molecular drag-strain coupling in branched polymer melts," *J. Rheol.* **44**(1), 121–136 (2000).
- Bogaerds, A. C. B., W. M. H. Verbeeten, G. W. M. Peters, and F. P. T. Baaijens, "3D viscoelastic analysis of a polymer solution in a complex flow," *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **180**, 413–430 (1999).
- Boukellal, G., A. Durin, R. Valette, and J. Agassant, "Evaluation of a tube based constitutive equation using conventional and planar elongation flow optical rheometers," *Rheol. Acta* **50**, 547–557 (2011).
- Clemeur, N., R. P. G. Rutgers, and B. Debbaut, "On the evaluation of some differential formulations for the pom-pom constitutive model," *Rheol. Acta* **42**, 217–231 (2003).
- Clemeur, N., R. P. G. Rutgers, and B. Debbaut, "Numerical evaluation of three dimensional effects in planar flow birefringence," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **123**, 105–120 (2004).
- Cogswell, F. N., "Converging flow of polymer melts in extrusion dies," *Polym. Eng. Sci.* **12**(1), 64–73 (1972).
- Collis, M. W., and M. R. Mackley, "The melt processing of monodisperse and polydisperse polystyrene melts within a slit entry and exit flow," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **128**(1), 29–41 (2005).
- Coventry, K. D., and M. R. Mackley, "Cross-slot extensional flow birefringence observations of polymer melts using a multi-pass rheometer," *J. Rheol.* **52**(2), 401–415 (2008).
- Crowley, D. G., F. C. Frank, M. R. Mackley, and R. G. Stephenson, "Localised flow birefringence of polyethylene oxide solutions in a four roll mill," *J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys.* **14**(6), 1111–1119 (1976).
- Das, C., N. J. Inkson, D. J. Read, and K. Kelmanson, "Computational linear rheology of general branch-on-branch polymers," *J. Rhol.* **50**(2), 207–234 (2006).
- Dealy, J. M., "Do polymeric liquids exhibit strain hardening?," *J. Rheol.* **34**(7), 1133–1147 (1990).
- Frank, F. C., and M. R. Mackley, "Localized flow birefringence of polyethylene oxide solutions in a two roll mill," *J. Polym. Sci., Part A-2* **14**, 1121–1131 (1976).
- Hassell, D. G., and M. R. Mackley, "Localised flow induced crystallisation of a polyethylene melt," *Rheol. Acta* **47**(4), 435–446 (2008).
- Hassell, D. G., and M. R. Mackley, "An experimental evaluation of the behaviour of mono and polydisperse polystyrenes in cross-slot flow," *Rheol. Acta* **48**, 543–550 (2009).
- Hassell, D. G., D. Hoyle, D. Auhl, O. Harlen, M. R. Mackley, and T. C. B. McLeish, "Effect of branching in cross-slot flow: The formation of W cusps," *Rheol. Acta* **48**, 551–561 (2009).
- Hassell, D. G., D. Auhl, T. C. B. McLeish, O. G. Harlen, and M. R. Mackley, "The effect of viscoelasticity on stress fields within polyethylene melt flow for a cross-slot and contraction-expansion slit geometry," *Rheol. Acta* **47**, 821–834 (2008).
- Hoyle, D. M., "Constitutive modelling of branched polymer melts in non-linear response," Ph.D. thesis, University of Leeds, Leeds, 2011.
- Inkson, N. J., T. C. B. McLeish, O. G. Harlen, and D. J. Groves, "Predicting low density polyethylene melt rheology in elongational and shear flows with pom-pom constitutive equations," *J. Rheol.* **43**(4), 873–896 (1999).
- Janeschitz-Kriegl, H., *Polymer Melt Rheology and Flow Birefringence* (Springer, Berlin, 1983).
- Kolte, M. I., H. K. Rasmussen, and O. Hassager, "Transient filament stretching rheometer. 2. Numerical simulation," *Rheol. Acta* **36**, 285–302 (1997).
- Kotaka, T., A. Kojima, and M. Okamoto, "Elongational flow opto-rheometry for polymer melts. 1. Construction of an elongational flow opto-rheometer and some preliminary results," *Rheol. Acta* **36**, 646–656 (1997).
- Koyama, K., and O. Ishizuka, "Birefringence of polyethylene melt in transient elongational flow at constant strain rate," *J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys.* **27**, 297–306 (1989).
- Laun, H. M., and H. Münstedt, "Comparison of the elongational behaviour of a polyethylene melt at constant stress and constant strain rate," *Rheol. Acta* **15**(10), 517–524 (1976).
- Laun, H. M., and H. Münstedt, "Elongational behaviour of a low density polyethylene melt," *Rheol. Acta* **17**, 415–425 (1978).

- Laun, H. M., and H. Schuch, "Transient elongational viscosities and drawability of polymer melts," *J. Rheol.* **33**(1), 119–175 (1989).
- Lord, T. D., L. Scelsi, D. G. Hassell, M. R. Mackley, J. Embury, D. Auhl, O. G. Harlen, R. Tenchev, P. K. Jimack, and M. A. Walkley, "The matching of 3d rolie-poly viscoelastic numerical simulations with experimental polymer melt flow within a slit and a cross-slot geometry," *J. Rheol.* **54**, 355–373 (2010).
- Mackley, M. R., R. T. J. Marshall, and J. B. A. F. Smeulders, "The multipass rheometer," *J. Rheol.* **39**(6), 1293–1309 (1995).
- Macosko, C. W., *Rheology, Principles, Measurements and Applications* (Wiley-VCH, New York, 1994).
- Macosko, C. W., M. A. Ocansey, and H. H. Winter, "Studies with lubricated planar stagnation dies," *J. Rheol.* **24**(6), 958–958 (1980).
- McKinley, G. H., and T. Sridhar, "Filament-stretching rheometry of complex fluids," *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **34**, 375–415 (2002).
- McLeish, T. C. B., "Tube theory of entangled polymers," *Adv. Phys.* **51**, 1379–1527 (2002).
- McLeish, T. C. B., and R. G. Larson, "Molecular constitutive equations for a class of branched polymers: The pom-pom polymer," *J. Rheol.* **42**(1), 81–110 (1998).
- Meissner, J., "Dehnungsverhalten von Polyäthylen-Schmelzen," *Rheol. Acta* **10**, 230–242 (1971).
- Meissner, J., "Experimental aspects in polymer melt elongational rheometry," *Chem. Eng. Commun.* **33**, 159–180 (1985).
- Meissner, J., and J. Hostettler, "A new elongational rheometer for polymer melts and other highly viscoelastic liquids," *Rheol. Acta* **33**, 1–21 (1994).
- Meissner, J., S. E. Stephenson, A. Demarmels, and P. Portmann, "Multiaxial elongational flows of polymer melts—classification and experimental realization," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **11**, 221–237 (1982).
- Meissner, J., T. Raible, and S. E. Stephenson, "Rotary clamp in uniaxial and biaxial extensional rheometry of polymer melts," *J. Rheol.* **25**, 1–28 (1981).
- Minoshima, W., and J. L. White, "A comparative experimental study of the isothermal shear and elongational rheological properties of low density, high density and linear low density polyethylenes," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **19**, 251–274 (1986a).
- Minoshima, W., and J. L. White, "Instability phenomena in tubular film and melt spinning of rheologically characterised high density, low density and linear low density polyethylenes," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **19**, 275–302 (1986b).
- Münstedt, H., "New universal extensional rheometer for polymer melts. Measurements on polystyrene," *J. Rheol.* **23**(4), 421–436 (1979).
- Münstedt, H., and D. Auhl, "Rheological measuring techniques and their relevance for the molecular characterization of polymers," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **128**, 62–69 (2005).
- Münstedt, H., and H. M. Laun, "Elongational properties and molecular structure of polyethylene melts," *Rheol. Acta* **20**, 211–221 (1981).
- Nielsen, J. K., H. K. Rasmussen, M. Denberg, K. Almdal, and O. Hassager, "Nonlinear branch-point dynamics of multiarm polystyrene," *Macromolecules* **39**(25), 8844–8853 (2006).
- Öttinger, H. C., "Thermodynamic admissibility of the pom-pom model for branched polymers," *Rheol. Acta* **40**, 317–321 (2001).
- Raible, T., A. Demarmels, and J. Meissner, "Stress and recovery maxima in LDPE melt elongation," *Polym. Bull.* **1**(6), 397–402 (1979).
- Rasmussen, H. K., A. G. Bejenariu, O. Hassager, and D. Auhl, "Experimental evaluation of the pure configurational stress assumption in the flow dynamics of entangled polymer melts," *J. Rheol.* **54**, 1325–1336 (2010).
- Rasmussen, H. K., J. K. Nielson, A. Bach, and O. Hassager, "Viscosity overshoot in the start-up of uniaxial elongation of low density polyethylene melts," *J. Rheol.* **49**(2), 369–381 (2005).
- Rolon-Garrido, V. H., and M. H. Wagner, "The damping function in rheology," *Rheol. Acta* **48**(3), 245–284 (2009).
- Schoonen, J. F. M., F. H. M. Swartjes, G. W. M. Peters, F. P. T. Baaijens, and H. E. H. Meijer, "A 3D numerical/experimental study on a stagnation flow of a polyisobutylene solution," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **79**(2–3), 529–561 (1998).



- Scrivenner, O., C. Bernera, R. Cressely, R. Hocquart, R. Sellin, and N. S. Vlachos, "Dynamical behaviour of drag-reducing polymer solutions," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **5**, 475–495 (1979).
- Sentmanat, M. L., "Miniature universal testing platform: From extensional melt rheology to solid-state deformation behavior," *Rheol. Acta* **43**(6), 657–669 (2004).
- Soulaïges, J., T. Schweizer, D. C. Venerus, J. Hostettler, F. Mettler, M. Kröger, and H. C. Öttinger, "Lubricated optical rheometer for the study of two-dimensional complex flows of polymer melts," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **150**, 43–55 (2008).
- Spiegelberg, S. H., D. C. Ables, and G. H. McKinley, "The role of end-effects on measurements of extensional viscosity in filament stretching rheometers," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **64**, 229–267 (1996).
- Sridhar, T., V. Tirtaatmadja, D. A. Nguyan, and R. K. Gupta, "Measurement of extensional viscosity of polymer solutions," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **40**(3), 271–280 (1991).
- Szabo, P., "Transient filament stretching rheometer. 1. Force balance analysis," *Rheol. Acta* **36**, 277–284 (1997).
- Tenchev, R., O. G. Harlen, P. K. Jimack, and M. A. Walkley, "Finite element modelling of two- and three-dimensional viscoelastic polymer flows," in *Trends in Engineering Computational Technology*, edited by M. Papadrakakis, and B. H. V. Topping (Saxe-Coburg, Edinburgh, UK, 2008), pp. 81–101.
- Verbeeten, W. M. H., G. W. M. Peters, and F. P. T. Baaijens, "Differential constitutive equations for polymer melts: The extended pom-pom model," *J. Rheol.* **45**(4), 823–843 (2001).
- Wagner, M. H., and V. H. Rolon-Garrido, "Verification of branch point withdrawal in elongational flow of pom-pom polystyrene melt," *J. Rheol.* **52**(5), 1049–1068 (2008).
- Winter, H. H., C. W. Macosko, and K. E. Bennett, "Orthogonal stagnation flow, a framework for steady extensional flow experiments," *Rheol. Acta* **18**, 323–334 (1979).